

diagram.

I have had no luck with Kenwood USA, they claim they don't know. I've sent two FAX's to Kenwood Japan, but no response.

Anyone offer any suggestions?

david

--

Internet: david@stat.com FAX: +1-602-451-1165
AX25 Packet: WB7TPY@WB7TPY.AZ.USA.NA

Date: 13 Jul 1994 16:54:21 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!msuinfo!netnews.upenn.edu!gopher.cs.uofs.edu!
triangle.cs.uofs.edu!bill@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <2vk7iq\$f0u@darkstar.UCSC.EDU>, <rogjdCsoHKF.Iqp@netcom.com>,
<gregCss119.7IF@netcom.com>
Subject : Re: GTOR--A big improvement?

In article <gregCss119.7IF@netcom.com>, greg@netcom.com (Greg Bullough) writes:
|>
|> Pactor lets you send pictures... ..okay, so that's interesting, but
|> most people don't do it.
|>

Prior to the advent of CRT teletypes, we used to send pictures using RTTY all the time. You still can if you have a cheap printer hooked up. I guess it's not so much of a step forward after all.

bill KB3YV

--

Bill Gunshannon		de-moc-ra-cy (di mok' ra see) n. Three wolves
bill@cs.uofs.edu		and a sheep voting on what's for dinner.
University of Scranton		
Scranton, Pennsylvania		#include <std.disclaimer.h>

Date: Wed, 13 Jul 1994 16:48:57 GMT
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!news.ossi.com!news.fai.com!amdahl!netcomsv!netcom.com!
greg@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-digital@ucsd.edu

References <gregCss5Hn.GGz@netcom.com>, <fmitchCst1rz.B6G@netcom.com>,
<ykt8kexGLHkE067yn@cris.com>m.com
Subject : Re: GTOR--A big improvement?

In article <ykt8kexGLHkE067yn@cris.com> Muphaus@cris.com writes:

>

>I really think that ham radio has got to be making major strides RIGHT NOW
>if it is going to survive... As the telephone system goes to satellite and
>fiber optics for reliability, and as access to high speed data links
>becomes more available and as computer hardware becomes more generic, ham
>radio is going to be surpassed in communications just like the Automobile
>bypassed the horse and buggy... (OK, I'm open for flames here...) Horse
>and buggies are today just for pleasure and nostalgia, and that's maybe
>where ham radio is headed...

>

A rare bit of poetry in the group, to make a point:

...and one day when the oil-barons have all dripped dry
and the nights all seem to grow colder
we'll wish for your strength, your gentle power....

(Ian Anderson,
'Heavy Horses')

Yes, communications has passed us by, already, in many ways. In fact, I'd anticipate that in future WARC's, there will be less interest in the HF spectrum, and more in VHF and UHF, where the birds fly. Even 'broadcasting' as we know it will become less and less of a desirable activity.

However, there will always be the demand for a technology that will allow world-wide communication, depending on no outside entity, using a few parts cobbled together for few dollars. CW is horribly inefficient, even when the band-width argument is made, compared to SSB when it comes to throughput of conversation. Yet, it lives on.

And when it gets down to it and, heaven forbid, this old world should really go to hell in a handbasket, ether will still respond to the tickling of a few watts. And if it doesn't, there will still be those who find value in the fundamental training that economical, world-wide personal communications offers.

While an HW8 can't match a cellular phone hooked into a satellite network for utility and efficiency, there are other values and measures to be considered.

So, 'Bring me a wheel of oaken wood
a rein of polished leather...'

Greg

End of Ham-Digital Digest V94 #233
